

# Developing a Theoretical Framework for Web Credibility Assessment—A Case of Social Q&A Sites: Preliminary Findings

Wonchan Choi<sup>1</sup> & Besiki Stvilia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Information Studies, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee; <sup>2</sup>School of Information, Florida State University

## Introduction

- Social question-and-answer (Q&A) allow users to “ask and answer question, evaluate content submitted by others, and view the community’s aggregate assessment of which questions, answers, and users are best.”<sup>[1]</sup>
- Characterized by their content-focused and collaborative nature, Q&A sites allow users to express their information needs as questions in natural language and obtain answers based on the community’s collective knowledge.
- Relatively less research has focused on web credibility issues in social Q&A sites.

## Theoretical Background

- Two-factor model of credibility<sup>[2]</sup>
  - **Trustworthiness:** Perceived *willingness* of the source to provide high quality information
  - **Expertise:** Perceived *ability* to provide high quality information
- Web credibility framework<sup>[3]</sup>
  - **Operator (author):** Source characteristics
  - **Content:** Attributes of the content
  - **Design:** Design elements related to organizational, technical, aesthetic, and interactive features of the site
- Extended typology of web credibility (Table 1)<sup>[4]</sup>

	Trustworthiness	Expertise
Operator	Operator Trustworthiness	Operator Expertise
Content	Content Trustworthiness	Content Expertise
Design	Design Trustworthiness	Design Expertise

Table 1. Six types of web credibility

## Study Design

- To develop a platform-type specific framework for web credibility assessments, a three-phased study was conducted.
- **Phase 1 – Literature Analysis:** To understand how previous studies on social Q&A sites or similar peer knowledge production communities have conceptualized and operationalized the credibility of information on such sites
- **Phase 2 – Synthesis of Findings of Phase 1:** To create a conceptual framework for web credibility assessments of social Q&A sites.
- **Phase 3 – Content Analysis:** To test and refine the framework by analyzing two specific cases—the Stack Exchange network of Q&A sites and Wikipedia Reference Desk.  
❖ *Note: The current poster reports on preliminary findings of the first two phases.*

## Findings & Discussion

- **Phase 1: Twenty-one criteria** for web credibility assessment of social Q&A sites have been identified.
- **Phase 2: An extended typology of web credibility for social Q&A sites**, categorizing the 21 criteria into six types of web credibility has been proposed (see Table 2).
- **Existing frameworks focus more on content-related attributes** (e.g., evidence-based, semantic clarity), but less on operator- or author-related attributes (e.g., credentials).
- Design-related attributes (e.g., interactive design) were rarely included in the frameworks, which warrants **further investigation on the potential influences of design** on people’s web credibility assessments of social Q&A sites.

	Trustworthiness	Expertise
Operator	Operator (author) trustworthiness: • Decency • Integrity • Non conflict of interest/ Benevolence • Transparency	Operator (author) expertise: • Credentials • Reputation
Content	Content trustworthiness: • Consistency • Currency • Citing Sources • Social Validation • Unbiasedness	Content expertise: • Accuracy • Evidence-based • Novelty • Reinforcement • Semantic Clarity/ Comprehensive • Structural Complete • Usefulness
Design	Design trustworthiness: • Responsive Design	Design expertise: • Appropriate Design • Ease of Use

Table 2. Proposed typology of web credibility assessments in social Q&A sites

## References

- [1] Gazan, R. Social Q&A. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 62(12), 2301–2312 (2011).
- [2] Hovland, C. I., Janis, I. L., Kelley, H. H: Communication and persuasion. Yale University Press (1953).
- [3] Fogg, B. J. Persuasive technology: Using computers to change what we think and do. Elsevier (2003).
- [4] Choi, W., Stvilia, B.: Web credibility assessment: Conceptualization, operationalization, variability, and models. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology 66(12), 2399–2414 (2015).

## Contact Information

Wonchan Choi, PhD, Assistant Professor, School of Information Studies, UW-Milwaukee; Email: [wchoi@uwm.edu](mailto:wchoi@uwm.edu)